

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/996,517	OCHI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Matthew O Savage	1724

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Matthew O Savage. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Walter F. Fasse. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 October 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Obviousness rejection of claims 7-13.*

Claims discussed:

*1, 8, and 10.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Art of record.*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed to amend claim 7 to patentably distinguish over the art of record, especially FIG. 13 of Kosar. Agreed to amend claims 8 and 10 to obviate a potential new matter rejection, the term "semi-circular" lacking literal basis in the original specification. See the attached examiner's amendment and reasons for allowance for details.